

Evolution (Science or Fairytale?)



Pastor Kelly Sensenig

Frog + Princess = Handsome prince (this is called a "fairy tale")

Frog + 10 billion years = Handsome prince (this is called "science")

Source Unknown

The Philosophical Myth of Evolution

Evolution teaches that mankind is an advanced link in a long chain of events, which began when life originated in some kind of primordial slime, billions of years ago. Secular humanism and Darwinism teaches that man is the result of an evolutionary process that began billions of years ago. Man eventually developed from the animal kingdom, more immediately from the ape family. But is this evolutionary philosophy science or fairytale? Is it a scientific fact that we are anthropoid apes and that we have evolved from monkeys? Listen to what some of the scientists are saying.

"Evolution is a fairy tale for grown-ups. This theory has helped nothing in the progress of science. It is useless." (Professor Louis Bounoure, Former: President of the Biological Society of Stassbourg, Director of the Strassbourg Zoological Museum, Director of Research at the French national Centre of Scientific Research, writing in "The Advocate," March 8, 1984, p. 17)

Dr. Colin Patterson, Senior Paleontologist at the British Museum of Natural History, London, writes: "One of the reasons I started taking this anti-evolutionary view, was ... it struck me that I had been working on this stuff for twenty years and there was not one thing I knew about it. That's quite a shock to learn that one can be so misled so long. ...so for the last few weeks I've tried putting a simple question to various people and groups of people. Question is: Can you tell me anything you know about evolution, any one thing that is true? I tried that question on the geology staff at the Field Museum of Natural History and the only answer I got was silence. I tried it on the

members of the Evolutionary Morphology Seminar in the University of Chicago, a very prestigious body of evolutionists, and all I got there was silence for a long time and eventually one person said, ' I do know one thing -- it ought not to be taught in high school.'" (Keynote address at the American Museum of Natural History, New York City, 5 November, 1981)

Even secular society is beginning to doubt the "Origin of Species" philosophy. The controversy continues in our public schools concerning the matter of intelligent design. The question revolves around whether or not the schools should be able to teach something about intelligent design in the classroom instead of just the theory of evolution. Why is there such a fuss over this? It's because humanistic teaching has ruled in the schools since the early sixties and the godless humanistic and atheistic element in our society want nothing to do with God. Actually, this matter has been brought up in the schools because even the secular education and scientists of our day know that evolution has never been proven and it is only a theory. There has never been one proof of a missing link between two different life forms. By the way, the missing link is still missing!

Wolfgang Smith, Mathematician and Physicist, Professor of Mathematics, Oregon State University, Former Math Instructor at MIT, writing in "Teilhardism and the New Religion: A Thorough Analysis of the Teachings of Darwin" (Tan Books and Publishers, 1988, pp.1,2) writes: "Today, a hundred and twenty-eight years after it was first promulgated, the Darwinian theory of evolution stands under attack as never before. ... The fact is that in recent times there has been increasing dissent on the issue within academic and professional ranks, and that a growing number of respectable scientists are defecting from the evolutionist camp. It is interesting, moreover, that for the most part these 'experts' have abandoned Darwinism, not on the basis of religious faith or biblical persuasions, but on strictly scientific grounds, and in some instances regretfully, as one could say. ...We are told dogmatically that Evolution is an established fact; but we are never told who has established it, and by what means. We are told often enough that the doctrine is founded upon evidence, and that indeed this evidence 'is henceforward above all verification, as well as being immune from any subsequent

contradiction'; but we are left entirely in the dark on the crucial question wherein, precisely, this evidence consists."

What does evolution teach? Evolution teaches that a natural process has occurred (naturalism) over billions of years that caused all living things to arise from a single common ancestor. Evolution says that life has evolved without a Creator. In some ancient puddle, lake or ocean, life began when chance chemical reactions produced the first single-celled organism, some kind of self-producing bacterium. These bacteria were able to reproduce themselves by cell division with slight changes from generation to generation. Very gradually, very slowly, this process of change was able to create new complex biological designs.

In some three billion years they were able to change step-by-step as follows: cell to a many celled worm without a backbone to worm with a backbone to fish to amphibian to reptile with scales to mammal with hair to an ape to a university professor teaching evolution. This process had to create thousands of new complex designs one after the other in order to change bacteria into a university professor. In short, we are a biological accident.

Evolution basically says that life evolved from non-life by chance and that one life form then produced another life form to eventually produce man. The problem with this theory is two-fold: First, something cannot come from nothing. Second, where are the thousands of series of fossils (complex biological designs) which prove that a slow process of evolution created one species after the other?

1 Timothy 6:20

"O Timothy, keep that which is committed to thy trust, avoiding profane *and* vain babblings, and oppositions of science falsely so called."

Paul warned Timothy about the "false science" of human knowledge and logic that would parade itself throughout the world. Evolution is a type of false science or knowledge that has no scientific or Biblical base. The chance of evolution occurring is incalculable.

Mathematical experts have used mathematics to try and determine how much chance it would take for the complex forms of life to form randomly or without creation. It has been calculated that one hundred and fifty people attempting to flip 150 heads in a row at a rate of one attempt per second for 15 billion years would still yield a probability of only 1 chance in ten thousand, trillion, trillion. The simplest life-form would require 110,000 such coin flips, not just 150! A skeptic might say, "Well the probability still is not zero – it could happen." There is a limit beyond which something is for all practical purposes impossible.

Moral Accountability and Evolution

Why do people accept the evolutionary theory? Romans 1:21-23 gives us the answer:

"Because that, when they knew God, they glorified *him* not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened. Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools, And changed the glory of the uncorruptible God into an image made like to corruptible man, and to birds, and fourfooted beasts, and creeping things."

The Bible teaches that mankind intuitively knows ("when they knew God") that there is a true and living Creator of everything in the universe. However, man chooses to reject the inward light of revelation and reason by willfully turning away from that which is true, logical, and scientific. There must be a true Creator and God. Genesis 1:1 says, "In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth." There is more truth, logic, and science in this statement than in volumes of books that man could ever write. It is *truth* for it's in the Bible, which is verified by thousands of manuscripts. It is also *logic* and *scientific* for every effect must have a cause and something cannot come from nothing. Man rejects the truth, logic, and science of God's creation because he does not want to be accountable to God and His moral code. Man wants to live outside the realm of God's moral code fulfilling "their own lusts" and "vile affections" (Romans 1:24-26). For many the evolutionary theory means there is no true Creator and moral lawgiver that he is accountable to in life. Evolution becomes an escape hatch for people to live like animals.

The theory is this. If we came from the animals we might as well live like animals.

"One is forced to conclude that many scientists and technologists pay lip-service to Darwinian theory only because it supposedly excludes a Creator..." (Dr. Michael Walker, Senior Lecturer, Anthropology, Sydney Un Quadrant, Oct., 1982, p.44)

Atheists are so confused about morals that they are backing human rights for apes! Richard Dawkins, in his crusade against God, could not say it more clearly: "There is no objective basis on which to elevate one species above another, chimp and human, lizard and fungus, we have all evolved over some three billion years by a process known as natural selection."

This is an incredible statement to be made from a rational person, who is said to be a scientist and scholar, but Dawkins is dead serious! Does a chimp, lizard, or fungus know anything of morals? Of course not! Any rational being knows this to be true. Should a lizard be treated the same way a human being should be treated? Today insanity has become science! The real issue is this. Man does not want to have God in his life. He does not want to be accountable to God in any way. Therefore, to get rid of God, which has been the underlying purpose for evolution since day one, still causes man to deny God as the Creator. The evolutionist Richard Dawkins also said, "I devoutly wish that we did live in a post-God society."

Matthew 15:19 speaks of man's heart without God:

"For out of the heart proceed evil thoughts, murders, adulteries, fornications, thefts, false witness, blasphemies."

Please notice that within the heart of man there is something called a "false witness" (evolution is a false witness). Man changes the truth about God's existence as the true Creator "into a lie" (Rom. 1:25) and accepts the false evolutionary theory because his heart is evil. He does not want any moral accountability to God. Why do intelligent experts cling to evolution and try to continually look for transitional fossils? The simple answer is because they want to live their life independent of the true God and lawgiver. For this very reason their secular worldview and starting point says: "There is no God!" Without

God and truth mankind sees evolution as the only explanation of the origins of life. It's the only philosophy that makes sense to people who reject their Creator. But does it really make sense? Can something really evolve from nothing? How can anything exist without a Creator?

Before Dawkins was Darwin (Charles Darwin). History tells us that Darwin borrowed the ideas for evolution from his granddad Erasmus Darwin and popularized them. However, before Darwin, science was largely spearheaded by those who believed God was the Creator of separate kinds of species. Here is the bottom line. It does not matter how far back you try to carry evolution, eventually you come to the place where somebody had to make something, in order to get the world started. You know who started it? God did. And furthermore, God created everything according to its individual species.

The Species of Evolution

Darwin concluded that all the species in the human race descended from one common ancestor and that everything was a modification of a previous life form. Darwin himself said, "If it could be demonstrated that any complex organ existed which could not possibly have been formed by numerous, successive, slight modifications, my theory would absolutely break down." Well, his theory does break down. It breaks down scientifically, logically, and Biblically.

Genesis 1:11-12 says:

"And God said, Let the earth bring forth grass, the herb yielding seed, *and* the fruit tree yielding fruit after his kind, whose seed *is* in itself, upon the earth: and it was so. And the earth brought forth grass, *and* herb yielding seed after his kind, and the tree yielding fruit, whose seed *was* in itself, after his kind: and God saw that *it was* good."

Genesis 1:21

"And God created great whales, and every living creature that moveth, which the waters brought forth abundantly, after their kind, and every winged fowl after his kind: and God saw that *it was* good."

Genesis 1:24-25

“And God said, Let the earth bring forth the living creature after his kind, cattle, and creeping thing, and beast of the earth after his kind: and it was so. And God made the beast of the earth after his kind, and cattle after their kind, and every thing that creepeth upon the earth after his kind: and God saw that *it was good*.”

What does it mean when the Bible says God produced everything in all of creation after its kind? It simply means that God created everything to have the same ancestral gene pool. God programmed into every organism the basic Genetic Code or DNA so that it can only reproduce in the same way. DNA (information from a Designer) actually prevents evolution from occurring. Each type of organism has its own DNA structure that can only specify the reproduction of that same kind (same species) – even though there can be an abundance of variation in the same kind (species). God has a complex informational program built into every living creature so that it produces only after its own kind – not other kinds!

The fact that God produced everything after its kind rules out *theistic* evolution, which says God created the first cells of life, and then life formed on its own. Now, we know that there are *variations* in the species (sometimes termed micro-evolution). This means that animals can be inbred with other animals that are in the same family. This is why we have mules or donkeys. This is why we have so many different breeds of dogs and the “dog kind” - (dingos, coyotes, wolves) and so many different breeds of cats. But the fact of the matter is this; no matter what breed a dog or cat might be they are still in the dog or cat family. If you reverse the variation of the kinds you will always go back to an original dog! You will always go back to a horse. This is because there are *limitations within the kinds* (different species of life forms). Variation does not happen outside the species or family. The evolutionary dogma that says all of life is interrelated is simply false.

You will not start with a Schnauzer dog and go back to a mouse or a plant. You will not start with a cat and go back to a dog. You will not start with an ape and go back to a man. Why? It's because they are of different kinds – they are in a different family of organisms (life form). It's true that a new species could arise when a population is

isolated and inbreeding occurs. But by this definition a new species is not a new "kind" but a further partitioning of an existing "kind." The point is this. Plants will always be plants since they are of the same kind. Dogs will always be dogs since they are of the same kind. Cattle will always be cattle since they are of the same kind. Horses will always be horses since they are of the same kind.

Did you know that the classification system we use in naming animals and plants in groups was first formulated on the Biblical teaching of *fixity of kind* and was the world of Carl Linnaeus (1707-1778). This is because God fully formed and separated the different kinds of species at creation and for this reason we have the different kinds of species to this very day. Within the species we can find highly complex, fully formed specimens, but they are specimens, which do not have any ancestors of different species. This is why humans did not evolve from apes (apelike) mammals into *Homo sapiens* – the human race. Evolutionists have been trying to prove that this happened with their evolutionary hoaxes of Piltdown man, which was found to be a 400-year-old human skull joined to an orangutan's jaw. Then there was Neanderthal man, Cro-Magnon man, Nebraska man (found to be a pig-like wild animal), Nutcracker man (found to be a skull and extinct ape) and Lucy. All of these supposed *missing links* between apes and men are found to have no evidence to support them. The fascinating drawings of ape-men, with their barrel chests, jutting jaws, and hairy legs, are the figments of human imagination (fairy tale).

The Missing Link of Evolution

There are no indisputable in-between (transitional) forms anywhere in the world – living or fossil. There are no in-between organisms that have been found. The missing link is still missing. Evolutionists say, "We haven't found them yet." Why not? The point is this. Evolutionists are looking for a needle in a haystack. *There is no scientific data proving evolution.* None! Fossils (fossil records) should indicate that there were countless transitional stages or transitional forms of different life between the species. Geologists today only discover species and groups of species that appear to have neither predecessors nor successors. *There is no gradual organic chain that has ever been scientifically proven.* Evolution requires intermediate

forms between species but paleontology does not provide them. Where are the evolutionary trees?

Nobel Prize winner Robert Millikan states: “The pathetic thing is that we have scientists who are trying to prove evolution, which no scientist can prove.”

Why can't scientists prove evolution and find the missing links? It's because God created all the animal species instead of allowing them to evolve. The Bible speaks very clearly on this subject, as we have seen above. The Bible correctly speaks of animals being created after their own kind or species – not after some other kind (species).

Genesis 6:20

“Of fowls after their kind, and of cattle after their kind, of every creeping thing of the earth after his kind, two of every *sort* shall come unto thee, to keep *them* alive.”

Genesis 7:14

“They, and every beast after his kind, and all the cattle after their kind, and every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth after his kind, and every fowl after his kind, every bird of every sort.”

Evolution has never been explained theoretically nor demonstrated experimentally. Science is to always have proof for its discoveries to be true and factual. For an idea to qualify as a credible scientific theory, it must be backed up by events, processes, and properties that can be observed and tested. Without any missing link or bridge between the different species evolution has no leg to stand on. There is no first-hand evidence that a single species ever came into being by natural processes. Evolution is not a scientific fact – it is faith in a religion. Evolution is a dogma of faith.

Today teachers are told to make science into propaganda against God - the true Creator. The whole “molecule to man” theory is just a story that is decorated with thousands of fascinating exhibits in museums but it has no reliable scientific basis. None! Zero!

Charles Darwin's general theory says that all living species from ants to antelopes, from broccoli to bats, from carrots to cockatoos, and

from hummingbirds to humans are the result of chance variations with all living things which are traced back to an original spark of life that appeared on our planet at some point in prehistory. Darwin's theory in his book "The origin and Decent of Man" was so wild that he offered to pay to have his book published. So highly speculative was this book that even Darwin himself said phrases like "we may suppose" over 800 times. His theories took like wildfire and *the outrageous became orthodox* in a day of racism, which considered many parts of the human race inferior, or not as advanced on the evolutionary chain. In fact, the original edition of "Origin of Species" verifies that Darwin was a devout racist. The words chosen were: "The Preservation of Favored Races in the Struggle for Life." Who do you suppose Darwin tagged the "Unfavored Races?" This subtitle has been eliminated from all modern printings of the book, but it remains on the original. Evolutionary thought grew in a time of hatred and racism. Darwin's evolutionary theory was rooted in racism but not science.

The Image of God and Evolution

Apes do not have the same likeness as man. Do you know why? It's because God created man to be like Himself. God created mankind (not the animals) in His own image or likeness. This is why you are a human being and not an animal species. The human race has been created in God's likeness (James 3:9). Like God, you possess similar personal, moral, and spiritual dimensions to your existence. Your created humanness is a reflection of God's likeness in many ways.

Genesis 1:26-27

"And God said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness: and let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth. So God created man in his *own* image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them."

Man was created to reflect God's image – not the image of apes or animals. Man is not of the same kind (species) of apes. He is of the God-kind!! This means God created man like Himself in that man has

characteristics unlike the animals. Below is a list of some ways that man is unlike the animals but like God.

Man has personality dimension – (complex mind, intellect, emotions, and will). Man is unlike the animals in the complexity of His design. Man is vastly superior in intelligence. He is capable of complex reasoning. We can accumulate, remember, and evaluate masses of information on an immense variety of subjects, and then act rationally as a result. I have never seen a chimp doing physics, calculus, writing poetry, or translating languages. Man is also endlessly creative in composing music and making pictures and other objects. We also have a *self-awareness* that the animals do not. This self-awareness leads us to reflect on who we are, why we are here, and where we are going. The fact that man thinks of these things proves he is different than the animal kingdom. We have a complex emotional design unlike the animals. I have never seen a bird crying or weeping at a funeral over the loss of its young. We long for meaning and purpose in life, we possess aspirations and goals, and have a built in sense of dignity. Animals do not have this.

Man has a moral dimension – a sense of right and wrong built into His being and a sense of ethical responsibility. In all cultures its wrong to kill another person in cold blood, steal, and commit adultery with another man's wife (moral conscience). The animals do not have this (Romans 1:19; 2:12-15). The Bible teaches that it is impossible to jump from atoms to ethics and from molecules to morality. Where did conscience come from and why do we have an inbuilt sense of right and wrong? Why do we sometimes feel guilt and shame? It did not come from a pool of slime billions of years ago. It came from God who is the Creator of the human race.

Man has a spiritual dimension – a sense that there is a true God and a world beyond this one (God-consciousness). We think about death and what lies behind but the animals do not reflect upon these things. They have no concept of the future or what lies beyond. We also have the *built-in capacity to worship the true God*. I have never seen a dog or chimp singing in the church choir – “Just as I Am.”

Faith and Evolution

Evolutionism is a faith-based religion. However, the faith of the evolutionist is unlike the faith that a Christian has in God as the sole creator of everything.

Erwin Lutzer has stated:

“Satan’s invention of evolution cuts off the path of reason that leads to God.

The faith of the evolutionist is blind faith whereas the faith of Christianity is based upon substantial evidence and built upon the foundation of absolute truth.

Hebrews 11:1-3 states:

“Now faith is the substance of things hoped for, the evidence of things not seen. For by it the elders obtained a good report. Through faith we understand that the worlds were framed by the word of God, so that things which are seen were not made of things which do appear.”

The Bible says that “through faith” (reasonable faith, rational faith) we understand that God created everything. God’s Word tells us that everything was created by God. This kind of faith rests on solid ground. It has “substance” (support) and “evidence” (conviction) attached to it. This is because it rests upon the absolute truths and promises of God’s Word, which have been substantiated by thousands of manuscripts that speak to the authenticity of the Biblical text. A faith that concludes life originated by some previous life forms of existence, or a faith that assumes something began without a Creator, is a faith that rests on no evidence. It’s a faith that rests on sinking sand. A wise man will build his house and faith on the solid ground of both Biblical and logical evidence. But Jesus said a foolish man would build on sinking sand (Matt. 7:26).

The Bible teaches that this universe was not created or “made of things which do appear” (Heb. 11:3) or things that were already in existence. A bird did not come from a rock already in existence. A man did not come from a single cell or some ape that was already in existence. God has created the course of the ages (creative time), which involves designing the universe of space and time in which we

now live, without using any previous life forms. He simply created everything by His own power. The Bible repeatedly mentions: “And God said” (Gen. 1:3, 6, 9, 11, 14, 20, 24, 26, 28, 29).

The complexity of the universe argues for a quick creation from the direct hand of God instead of eons of time. Eons of time could never bring about the complexity of the earth and human body together as we know it today. The human body is far too complex to evolve by chance.

Many years ago my health teacher in the public school system said to the class. “Men, you can believe what you want, but I’ve studied the human body for many years and have come to the conclusion that there is a Designer.” Logical reasoning brings every man to this conclusion. However, there is nothing logical about evolution. The only certain thing we can say about the missing links in the fossil records is this. They are still missing!

In ruling out God as the Creator of life, evolutionists have turned to the so-called “spontaneous generation” theory which says that at some point in prehistory a random mixture of chemicals accidentally combined to produce the first living cell. Powerful winds gathered random molecules from the atmosphere. Somewhere deposited in the seas, tides and currents swept the molecules together. And somewhere in the ancient ocean the first organized form of primitive life began as a tiny protozoan (a one-celled animal). Millions of protozoa populated the ancient water world. They moved about in their aquatic environment feeding on bacteria and other organisms and from these one-celled organisms evolved all life on earth” (NOVA – The miracle of life).

This kind of thinking does not reflect science but fantasy! To think that all of life came out of some kind of sea of lifeless soup (primeval-or primordial soup) is ridiculous. What are the chances of this happening? Dr. James Coppedge, an expert in the study of statistical probability, has calculated that a single protein molecule being formed by chance is 1 in 10 to 161st power. As there are estimated to be only 10 to the 80th power atoms in the entire universe, one would need 10 to the 81st power universes for this to happen!!! This, of

course, is ten times more universes than there are atoms in our own universe!

Some evolutionists see the problem and impossibility of spontaneous generation occurring and they are now saying that matter is eternal (so-called steady state theory). But this conclusion plays into the hands of creationism for something that is eternal must leave the door open for an Eternal Being to have also existed. If something can be eternal, then God can be eternal. Some evolutionists like Sir Fred Hoyle also know the odds of spontaneous life happening are zero and have come up with the theory that the first living organism on earth reached here from outer space. Again, there is not a shred of evidence to back up any of these findings. Other evolutionists are saying, "Giving enough time, anything can happen – the impossible becomes probable, the improbable become certain" (George Wald). One can see that this is not a science at all but sheer fantasy. This theory says the universe was self-created or that it sprung into existence without any rhyme or reason. There was a Big Bang that occurred 50 billion years ago. The question is this: What caused the Big Bang or what came before time zero? There are many versions of the spontaneous generation (life) but they all fly in the face of logic. How could anything bring itself into existence unless it already existed in order to do so?

Science and Evolution

Order from disorder! This is scientifically impossible! Science has confirmed in the First Law of Thermodynamics that in any given system neither matter nor energy can be self-created or destroyed. Therefore, the Big Bang theory or self-creation or eternal matter without creation flies in the face of what science says and has confirmed. The Second Law of Thermodynamics (entropy) says that over time any closed physical system becomes less ordered and more random – everything breaks down! This flatly contradicts biological evolution, which says man has been advancing into more complete and complex life forms. Evolution goes up hill but science goes downhill. Life comes from life. There is only one solution to everything. There must be a transcendent, eternal, and self-existing cause to the universe. The answer is God! There must be an initial Creator to everything – a Creator who is eternal. This creator is God.

Genesis 1:31

“And God saw every thing that he had made, and, behold, *it was* very good. And the evening and the morning were the sixth day.”

Colossians 1:16

“For by him were all things created, that are in heaven, and that are in earth, visible and invisible, whether *they be* thrones, or dominions, or principalities, or powers: all things were created by him, and for him.”

Revelation 4:11

“Thou art worthy, O Lord, to receive glory and honour and power: for thou hast created all things, and for thy pleasure they are and were created.”

I can't prove that God exists from an experiment in a petri dish but I can prove that God creates life in the petri dish. This is because the mechanisms of life itself must have had another life of intelligence to initially create them and the Bible gives to us the life that existed to create all other forms of life – His name is God.

After many years of study, and the publication of numerous research papers, Stephen Crocott, Fellow of the Royal Australian Chemical Institution, came to this conclusion: “I am afraid that as a scientist I simply cannot say strongly enough that spontaneous origin of life is a chemical nonsense and, therefore, I am left with no alternative but to believe that life was created.”

There is no such thing as a primitive cell. If there was a primitive cell then where did the primitive cell come from? Where did the molecules and bacteria come from? Here is the best line of scientific reasoning I can give to you today. Every effect must have a cause! And if you keep going back further and further you will eventually run into the eternal Being and Person, which the Bible calls God! Atheism is not a rational, logical, or scientific belief. Every effect must have a cause. And the cause of all created things is God, as the Bible says, the creator of the universe.

Psalms 14:1 declares:

“The fool hath said in his heart, *There is* no God. They are corrupt, they have done abominable works, *there is* none that doeth good.”

The Bible paints a bright picture of hope after death for the person who places their faith in the death and resurrection of God's Son for salvation.

Titus 1:2 declares:

"In hope of eternal life, which God, that cannot lie, promised before the world began."

2 Timothy 1:10 adds this truth:

"But is now made manifest by the appearing of our Saviour Jesus Christ, who hath abolished death, and hath brought life and immortality to light through the gospel."

Someone said:

"Atheism is a journey without a destiny, a body without a soul, a religion without a reason, life without meaning, a faith without hope, and a universe without God. The truth of atheism is the death of truth. The absence of God logically means the absence of all that God implies."

When it comes to creationism logical reason tells us that there was and must be a Creator!

Genesis 1:1 says, "In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth." The answer to everything is God! He is the sovereign Creator of everything. This gives us the only logical answer to how the universe began. It also gives us the only answer to how man came into existence.

Genesis 2:7

"And the LORD God formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living soul."

Since it has become increasingly obvious that Darwin's ideas are totally false evolutionists have tried to come up with the newer idea based on the field of genetics. It's like a last dying hope and wish to prove evolution. They have now said that genes underwent radical alterations (mutations). Evolution could then make use of these improved genes (upward mutations) and given sufficient time, result

in newer and higher life forms. This is called the “synthetic theory” and the neo-Darwinism, which has now replaced the older theory.

What is a mutation? A mutation is a random change in the nucleotides of a DNA molecule. It occurs during reproduction when the DNA is being doubled in preparation for cell division. Mutations are extremely rare. They don't occur very often, something like once in every ten million duplications of a DNA molecule. Furthermore, when they do occur, they are normally always harmful and just a bunch of genetic noise.

After studying mutations in many generations of bacteria, Pierre Grasse' found that no essential change had developed. As bacteria multiply 400,000 times faster than humans, Grasse's findings equate to millions of years within the human species. Dismissing the possibility of thousands and thousands of lucky, appropriate events occurring to produce the mutational changes on which neo-Darwinism leans, Grasse' wrote, “There is no law against daydreaming, but science must not indulge in it.”

The problem with this new theory is that mutations rarely happen and when they do happen they tend to create problems rather than provide advantage. DNA has its own proofreading system and when the information becomes altered or lost only bad things can happen. When the information necessary to manufacture something is lost terrible things occur. So mutations do not add or update information. They actually alter and cause damage. Virtually all mutations (999 out of every 1,000) are harmful, weakening the organism or destroying it altogether. Typing errors do not help a document and the information contained in it. It actually harms it.

Lee Spetner said: “The failure to observe even one mutation that adds information is more than just a failure to find support for the theory. It is evidence against the theory.”

Evolutionists claim or theorize that mutations take place in microscopic increments over a period of millions and even billions of years. But take the human eye for an example, which comes with automatic aiming, focusing and adjustment, and has 130 million receptor cells, 124 million of which are rod-shaped and differentiate

between light and darkness, and six million of which are cone-shaped and can identify up to eight million variations of color.

Are we to seriously believe that this staggering sophisticated organ came into being through a step-by-step, accidental, purposeless, trial-and-error process that took millions of years of positive mutational change, which statistically cannot happen, since approximately 1 good mutation occurs to every 1,000 harmful mutations? This kind of reasoning is good for the fairy tale books but not the science book! There is no plant or animal that could every live long enough to allow the millions of micro-mutations to occur that would be needed to transform it into a different improved species.

Verbrugge has said, “The probability of two non-harmful mutations occurring simultaneously is one in one thousand million million. For all practical purposes, there is no chance that all five mutations will occur within the life cycle to a single organism.” Even a convinced evolutionist like the American zoologist George Gaylord Simpson admits that if there was an effective breeding population of 100 million individuals, and they could produce a new generation every single day, the likelihood of producing good evolutionary results from mutations could be expected only about one in 247 billion years – far longer than even the most extravagant estimations of the ages of the earth. The Nobel Prize winner for his work in the development and use of penicillin, Sir Ernst, said that the development and survival of the fittest by chance mutations was “a hypothesis based on no evidence and irreconcilable with the facts.”

True science will admit that natural selection destroys unfit organisms, mutations result in lost information, and time inevitably leads to decay and death. Man is not evolving!

Genesis and Evolution

How can I know that the Genesis creation account of creation is real? It's because we see that our entire life revolves around the beginnings found in Genesis. For instance, do you believe in marriage?

Genesis 2:23-24 reveals:

“And Adam said, This *is* now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh: she shall be called Woman, because she was taken out of Man. Therefore shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife: and they shall be one flesh.”

Do you promote the wearing of clothes?

Genesis 3:21 says:

“Unto Adam also and to his wife did the LORD God make coats of skins, and clothed them” (to cover up their sin).

Why do we reason about God’s existence and the origins of the universe unlike the animals? Why are there generally accepted rules of right and wrong? Why do most societies conclude that it’s wrong to kill and violate children? It’s because we were created in God’s image and bear the mark of His moral code upon our lives.

Genesis 1:27

“So God created man in his *own* image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them.”

Why is there death and suffering?

Genesis 3:16-18

“Unto the woman he said, I will greatly multiply thy sorrow and thy conception; in sorrow thou shalt bring forth children; and thy desire *shall be* to thy husband, and he shall rule over thee. And unto Adam he said, Because thou hast hearkened unto the voice of thy wife, and hast eaten of the tree, of which I commanded thee, saying, Thou shalt not eat of it: cursed *is* the ground for thy sake; in sorrow shalt thou eat *of* it all the days of thy life; Thorns also and thistles shall it bring forth to thee; and thou shalt eat the herb of the field.”

Why do people get old?

Genesis 3:19

“In the sweat of thy face shalt thou eat bread, till thou return unto the ground; for out of it wast thou taken: for dust thou *art*, and unto dust shalt thou return.”

If you can believe in these things, and accept them as being true and right, then why not accept creationism? The Book of Genesis has the answers to all these questions and the origins of life. If people would go back to Genesis they would find the answers to life's questions - "Who am I, Why am I here, and Where am I going?" The Genesis account tells us of God's creative acts and it says that God created everything "after its own kind" (Gen. 1:21-25). This rules out the unscientific evolutionary philosophy and myth, which says human life evolved from one common ancestor, over a process of billions of years. Why believe an unproven fairytale? The answer to the origin of life is seen in the Biblical account of Genesis.

Evolution says there are no gods, no purposes in life, and no goal-directing forces of any kind. There is no life after death. There is no ultimate foundation for ethics, no meaning to life. We are simply a biological accident on the road to a higher life form. If this is the case, what gives us any greater value than rocks, reptiles, trees, and termites? Do we really think that we owe our existence to millions of mindless accidents that occurred over billions of years of time? Was man a lucky fluke that just happened in a pool billions of years ago? Or does Psalm 33:6 give us a much more reasonable answer that we can anchor our faith upon: "By the word of the LORD were the heavens made; and all the host of them by the breath of his mouth."

Conclusion

It was by God's own creative word that everything came into existence. It was not the result of some kind of evolutionary process, natural process, a big bang, eternal matter, or mutations. All of these suggestions to the origins of life have no scientific evidence to back them up, nor do they have any Biblical basis. One evolutionist, who was being interviewed on TV, said this: "It must have happened." His conclusion is that evolution must have occurred. But where is the scientific proof? Where is the logic? Where is the first cause? Where is the eternal God in his conclusion? "It must have happened" to "It did happen" are two different stories. The whole theory of evolution is built upon sinking sand and is a fairy tale or myth.

2 Timothy 4:4 says:

“And they shall turn away *their* ears from the truth, and shall be turned unto fables.”

People have embraced the theory or mythical teaching of evolution in their attempt to rule out the one and only true Creator to whom they are accountable.

1 Corinthians 8:6

“But to us *there is but* one God, the Father, of whom *are* all things, and we in him; and one Lord Jesus Christ, by whom *are* all things, and we by him.”

One writer said:

“No philosophical theory which I have yet come across is a radical improvement on the words of Genesis, that ‘In the beginning God made heaven and earth.’”

Three monkeys sat in a coconut tree
Discussing the things that are said to be—
Said one to another: “Now listen you two
There’s a certain rumor, but it can’t be true,

That man descended from our noble race—
Why, the very idea; it’s a disgrace!
“No monkey ever deserted his wife,
Starved her babies and ruined her life.

Nor did ever a mother-monkey
Leave her babies with others to bunk,
Or pass them on from one to another
‘Till they scarcely knew who was their mother.

“And another thing you’ll never see
A monkey building a nest around a coconut tree,
And let the coconuts go to waste,
Forbidding all other monkeys to have a taste.

Why, if I build a fence around a coconut tree,
Starvation would cause me to distribute to you.

“Here’s another thing that a monkey won’t do:
Go out at night and get on a stew;

Or use a gun, a club, or a knife
To take another monkey’s life.
Yes, Man descended, the ornery cuss!
But Brother, he didn’t descend from us.”